# Cohen-Macaulay Monomial Rings

Robin Tucker-Drob

#### What's A Monomial Ring?

A monomial *f* is a polynomial with one term, i.e. a polynomial that may be expressed as:

> *n<sup>k</sup>*  $\frac{R}{k}$  $f = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k}$

 $g_1, g_2,$  and  $g_3$  are all monomials:  $g_1 = x^5 y^2 z^9$   $g_2 = y$   $g_3 = s^4 t^5$ 

$$
h_1
$$
 and  $h_2$  are not:  $h_1 = x^2y^2 + 1$   $h_2 = y + z - x^5$ 

A monomial ring *R*[*f<sup>1</sup> ,…,f<sup>k</sup>* ] consists of all *R-*linear combinations of products of the monomials *f<sup>1</sup> ,…,f<sup>k</sup>* where *R* is a ring.

We multiply monomials in the natural way:

$$
r^4s^5 \cdot r^2st^7 = r^6s^6t^7
$$

If we identify the monomial  $r^a s^b t^c$  with the vector (*a, b, c*), then monomial multiplication corresponds with vector addition:

$$
r^4s^5 \cdot r^2st^7 = r^6s^6t^7 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad (4, 5, 0) + (2, 1, 7) = (6, 6, 7)
$$

So corresponding to a monomial ring  $R[f_1, ..., f_k]$  is the *semigroup***\*** *S* which is the collection of all non-negative linear combinations of the vectors identified with the exponents of  $f_1, \ldots, f_k$  (this implies that we include the zero vector in the semigroup).

For example:

$$
R[s^2, st, t^2]
$$

 $S = <$  (2,0),(1,1),(0,2) > Corresponds with:  ${a} = {a(2,0) + b(1,1) + c(0,2) : a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ 

or example:<br>  $R[s^2, st, t^2]$ <br>
Corresponds with:<br>  $S = \langle (2,0), (1,1), (0,2) \rangle$ <br>  $= \{a(2,0) + b(1,1) + c(0,2) : a, b, c \in Z_{\geq 0}\}$ <br>
e tuples in pointy brackets are called the *generators* of *S*. From<br>
w on I will talk about a monomial r The tuples in pointy brackets are called the *generators* of *S*. From now on I will talk about a monomial ring and its corresponding semigroup interchangeably.

# Semigroup Lattice

- If the elements of our semigroup are either pairs or triplets, we may visualize the structure of a semigroup and its corresponding monomial ring with a semigroup lattice.
- Simply plot the elements of the semigroup in the plane or in 3 space.
- Here is part of the semigroup lattice for *S=<*(2,0),(1,1),(0,2)>



### Simplicial Homogeneous Semigroups

- If the sum of the entries of each generator of a semigroup adds to the same number *d*, then the semigroup is said to be *homogeneous* and of degree *d*.
- For example, the homogeneous semigroup  $\langle (2,0), (1,1) \rangle$  is of degree 2, and the homogeneous semigroup  $\langle (5,0,0), (0,5,0), (0,0,5), (1,2,2) \rangle$  is of degree 5.
- I am looking in particular at *simplicial* homogenous semigroups:
	- Those with a lattice in the plane and with  $(d,0)$  and  $(0,d)$  in its set of generators.
	- Those with a lattice in space and with  $(d,0,0)$ ,  $(0,d,0)$ , and  $(0,0,d)$  in its set of generators.

The second example above is also an example of a simplicial homogeneous semigroup (which is what I will mean by "semigroup" from here on).

### $S = \leq (3,0,0), (0,3,0), (0,0,3), (1,1,1)$



- Unfortunately, a semigroup lattice in 3-space can be quite confusing.
- Here's part of one for

 $S = \langle (3,0,0), (0,3,0), (0,0,3), (1,1,1) \rangle$ 

(The colored lines and dots are an attempt to make things less confusing. Tiers are connected.)

# Semigroup Lattice Revisited

- Once again, here is part of the semigroup lattice for *S=<*(2,0),(1,1),(0,2)>
- The following non-generator elements appear since

 $(0,4) = (0,2) + (0,2)$  $(1,3) = (1,1) + (0,2)$  $(2,2) = (1,1) + (1,1)$  $(3,1) = (1,1) + (2,0)$  $(4,0) = (2,0) + (2,0)$ 

are all in the semigroup. The element  $(0,0)$  is also in the semigroup.



## Tier Structure

- Note that a "tier" structure emerges.
- For a semigroup of degree *d*, an element with entries that add to *nd* is said to be on the *n*th tier.



# Missing Elements

- A simplicial homogenous semigroup of degree *d* may be "missing" some elements or points—that is, there may be tuples with entries that add up to a multiple of *d* that do not belong to the semigroup
- The example on the right is for  $S = \langle (0,6), (1,5), (4,2), (6,0) \rangle$ 
	- The missing elements on the first tier are  $(2,4)$ ,  $(3,3)$ , and  $(5,1)$
	- The missing elements on the second tier are (3,9), (9,3), and  $(11,1)$



### Cohen-Macaulay Semigroups with Lattice in the Plane

- A simplicial homogeneous semigroup *S* of degree *d*, with lattice in the plane, is said to be Cohen-Macaulay (CM) if there do **not** exists any points *p* such that:
	- *p* is not in *S*—i.e. *p* is a missing point—and  $p+(d,0)$ and  $p+(0,d)$  are both in *S*
	- Otherwise *S* is said to be non-Cohen-Macaulay (NCM).



- S= $\lt(0,4)$ , (1,3), (3,1), (4,0)>
- (2,2) is missing, but  $(6,2)=(3,1)+(3,1)$  and  $(2,6)=(1,3)+(1,3)$  are not, so S is NCM.







•  $S = \langle (0,5), (1,4), (2,3), (3,2), (5,0) \rangle$  is CM since adding (d,0) to any of the missing points gives another missing point.



•  $S = <(0,13), (2,11), (5,8)(13,0)$  is also CM but this is harder to see (and show): For each missing point p, either p+(d,0), or p+(0,d) is in *S*.

#### How Many Cohen-Macaulay Semigroups are There?

- Dr. Reid showed that for semigroups with a lattice in the plane, in the grand scheme of things Cohen-Macaulay semigroups are rare.
- $\#CM_2(d)$  is the number of Cohen-Macaulay semigroups of degree *d* with lattice in 2-space.
- $\#T_2(d)$  is the total number of (simplicial homogeneous) semigroups of degree *d* with lattice in 2-space.

$$
\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{\#CM_2(d)}{\#T_2(d)} = 0
$$

- These numerical results from Dr. Reid's paper show that fairly quickly the numbers begin to favor NCM semigroups.
- $\bullet$   $d$  degree
- $\#T(d)$  total number number of semigroups
- $\#CM(d)$  number of CM semigroups
- $\#NCM(d)$  number of NCM semigroups
- For example, *#CM*(18)**/***#T*(18)=0.0413647 so about 4.1 percent of semigroups of degree 18 are Cohen-Macaulay



### Semigroups With Lattice in 3-Space

• A simplicial homogeneous semigroup *S* of degree *d*, with lattice in 3-space, is said to be Cohen-Macaulay (CM) if there do **not** exists any points *p* such that:

*p* is a missing point but none of  $p+(d,d,0)$ ,  $p+(d,0,d)$ , and are  $p+(0,d,d)$  missing.

Otherwise *S* is said to be non-Cohen-Macaulay (NCM).

## Extending Results?

 $\# T_{3}(d)$  $\# CM_{3}(d)$  $3(u)$  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} \frac{\pi Cm_3(u)}{\#T(d)} = 0$  $\lim_{\rightarrow \infty}$  #T<sub>3</sub>(d)  $CM_3(d)$ *d*

- Although numerically the evidence for the above statement is quite strong, it seems to be quite difficult to actually prove the statement using similar methods as the 2-space case due to several combinatorial complications.  $\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x^2}}{\#T_3(d)} = 0$ <br>Although numerically the evidence for the above<br>statement is quite strong, it seems to be quite difficu<br>to actually prove the statement using similar method<br>as the 2-space
- The numerical results shows that fewer than 4 percent of semigroups of degree 5 are Cohen-Macaulay. For degree 6+ the ratio starts to become a very small

### Back to the Plane

- Moving back to semigroups with a lattice in 2space, what happens when we focus on semigroups with a specific number of generators?
- Note that since our semigroups are *simplicial*  of degree *d*, we will always have at least the two generators  $(d,0)$  and  $(0,d)$ .



•A geometric argument (omitted) shows that for three generators— i.e.  $S = \langle 0, d \rangle, (a, d - a), (d, 0) > -S$  is always Cohen-Macaulay. Above are some examples.

## $S = \langle (0, d), (b, d-b), (a, d-a), (d, 0) \rangle$

• What about four generators? Note that since *S* is homogeneous we can just write the first entry for each generator since the second entry is then determined: that is, write *S*=<0*,b,a,d*> instead of *S*=<(0*,d*),(*b,d-b*),(*a,d-a*),(*d*,0)>

## Holding *b* and *a* Constant

- Using brute force method we can figure out which semigroups are CM.
- Holding *d* constant and trying to see which combinations of *b* and *a* yield CM (or NCM) semigroups was not very enlightening.
- However, when I held both *b* and *a* constant and looked at which values of *d* caused the semigroup to be NCM, a pattern emerged.
- Below is a table of combinations of *b, a* and *d* that are NCM. For each entry, the top number is *b*, the middle is *a*, and the bottom is *d*.
- The largest value of *d* in each row is: 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 49,... these are the perfect squares. In each case the largest value for *d* is (*a-*1)2

 $b=1, a=3$  $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{array}$  $\frac{1}{4}$  $b=1, a=4$  $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{array}$  $\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 5 & 5 \\ 8 & 11 & 12 \end{array}$ 1<br>5<br>16  $b=1, a=5$  $\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 \\ 6 & & 6 & & 6 & & 6 \\ 10 & & 13 & & 14 & & 15 \end{array}$  $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 6 \\ 8 \end{array}$  $\frac{1}{6}$  $\begin{matrix} 1 & 1 \\ 6 & 6 \\ 19 & 20 \end{matrix}$  $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 6 \\ 25 \end{array}$  $b=1, a=6$  $b=1, a=8$ -9  $\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 10&13&14&15&19&20&25\\ 1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1\\ 7&7&7&7&7&7&7&7&7\\ 11&12&15&16&17&18&22&23&24&29&30\\ 1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1\\ 8&8&8&8&8&8&8&8&8&8&8&8&8\\ 12&13&14&17&18&19&20&21&25&26&27 \end{array}$  $\frac{1}{7}$  $1$  $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 7 \\ 36 \end{array}$  $b=1, a=7$ 9.  $10$  $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 8 \\ 28 \end{array}$  $\mathbf{1}$  $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 8 \\ 33 \end{array}$  $\begin{smallmatrix}1\cr8\cr4\end{smallmatrix}$  $\mathbf{1}$  $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 8 \\ 34 \end{array}$  $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 8 \\ 35 \end{array}$ 8  $8 \overline{1}1$  $10$ 49

### First Result

If S is a monomial curve generated by  $\{(0, d), (b, d-b), (a, d-a), (d = (a-b)i-bj = ai-b(i+j), 0)\}\$  $=$  {0, b, a, d}  $\label{eq:2} \begin{array}{c} \displaystyle{ydet} \\ \displaystyle{where} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \displaystyle{gcd(b,a)=1} \\ \displaystyle{b+1 \leq i \leq a-1} \\ 0 \leq j \leq a-1-i \end{array} \right. \end{array}$ 

then S is not Cohen-Macaulay.

**Similar kinds of observations paved the way for the discovery of the above result concerning when some of these four-generator NCM semigroups. Note the limitation that**  *gcd***(***b***,***a***)=1.**

### Other Results

• Extending the first result, here is a table of the number of NCM semigroups generated by 4 elements with  $gcd(a,b)=1$  that I have accounted for compared to the actual number found using brute force calculations.

