



David Lin & Allisun Thomas

This work was supported in part by NSF Grant DMS 1559911.

August 2, 2018





- Definitions
- Background

2 Hinges in $(\mathbb{Z}_q)^2$

- Statement
- Key Tools
- Results

3 Hinges in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^2$

- Main Question
- Key Tools
- Results

References

Introduction Hinges in $(\mathbb{Z}_q)^2$ Hinges in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^2$ References

Definitions Background

Definitions

• Finite sets (e.g. \mathbb{Z}_5)

Lin & Thomas Hinges and Incidences

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



Definitions: Groups

Groups (e.g. $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$) A set (not necessarily finite) that holds four properties:

- Closed under an operation
- Associative Property
- Identities
- Inverses



Definitions: Groups

Groups (e.g. $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$) A set (not necessarily finite) that holds four properties:

- Closed under an operation
- Associative Property
- Identities
- Inverses
- A special type of group: Abelian Groups



Definitions: Rings

Rings (e.g. $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot \rangle$) Sets with 2 operations (addition and multiplication) that hold the following properties:

- Abelian group under addition
- Multiplication is associative
- Distributive law



Definitions: Rings

Rings (e.g. $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot \rangle$) Sets with 2 operations (addition and multiplication) that hold the following properties:

- Abelian group under addition
- Multiplication is associative
- Distributive law
- A special type of ring: Fields



Definition: Fields

Fields (e.g. $\langle \mathbb{R}, +, \times \rangle$) A ring that holds the following properties:

- Multiplication is commutative (commutative ring)
- The ring contains a multiplicative identity (ring with unity)
- All nonzero elements have a multiplicative inverse

Introduction Hinges in $(\mathbb{Z}_q)^2$ Hinges in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^2$ References

Definitions Background

Definition: Division Ring

A division ring is a ring with unity where all the the nonzero elements have a multiplicative inverse.



Definitions: Notation

- \gtrsim or \lesssim : "Approximately" less (greater) than or equal to
 - If X(n) and Y(n) depend on some parameter n, then if there exists constants C, N > 0 : ∀n ≥ N,

$$|X(n)| \leq C|Y(n)|$$

We write $X \leq Y$.



Definitions: k-chains

• 1-chain: The pairs that are a certain α distance apart $((x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x_1 - x_2| = \alpha).$



Definitions: k-chains

- 1-chain: The pairs that are a certain α distance apart $((x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x_1 x_2| = \alpha).$
- 2-chain (hinge): The triples such that the *i*th and (*i* + 1)th terms are specific distances apart. ((x₁, x₂, x₃) ∈ ℝ² : |x₁ - x₂| = α₁, |x₂ - x₃| = α₂)



Definitions: k-chains

- 1-chain: The pairs that are a certain α distance apart $((x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x_1 x_2| = \alpha).$
- 2-chain (hinge): The triples such that the *i*th and (*i* + 1)th terms are specific distances apart. ((x₁, x₂, x₃) ∈ ℝ² : |x₁ - x₂| = α₁, |x₂ - x₃| = α₂)
- k-chain: The set of k-tuples such that the ith and (i + 1)th terms are specific distances apart.
 ((x₁,...,x_k) ∈ ℝ² : |x₁ x₂| = α₁,...|x_k x_{k+1}| = α_k)

(a)



Background: Unit Distance Problem

Erdős unit distance problem:

Estimates the maximum number of pairs of points the are a unit distance away from each other in a finite set.

• Conjecture: *n logn* (1946)



Background: Unit Distance Problem

Erdős unit distance problem:

Estimates the maximum number of pairs of points the are a unit distance away from each other in a finite set.

- Conjecture: *n logn* (1946)
- The trivial result: $\binom{n}{2} = n^2$

- A 🗇 🕨 - A 🖻 🕨 - A 🖻 🕨



Background: Unit Distance Problem

Erdős unit distance problem:

Estimates the maximum number of pairs of points the are a unit distance away from each other in a finite set.

- Conjecture: *n logn* (1946)
- The trivial result: $\binom{n}{2} = n^2$
- Best result: n^{4/3} (1984)



Background: Hinges

What's the connection?

• Like with the unit distance problem, we can set positions for 2 points to limit our possibilities when counting hinges.



Background: Hinges

What's the connection?

- Like with the unit distance problem, we can set positions for 2 points to limit our possibilities when counting hinges.
- A proof on how to find hinges in \mathbb{R}^2 for a set E with n elements.





What happens when we switch from \mathbb{R}^2 to integer modulo q sets (\mathbb{Z}^2_q) ?

• Circles are geometrically different, containing approximately *q* points (Covert, Iosevich, Pakianathan, 2018).





What happens when we switch from \mathbb{R}^2 to integer modulo q sets (\mathbb{Z}^2_q) ?

- Circles are geometrically different, containing approximately *q* points (Covert, Iosevich, Pakianathan, 2018).
- Intersections between circles can be at more than 2 points, even if the circles are not necessarily the same.





What happens when we switch from \mathbb{R}^2 to integer modulo q sets (\mathbb{Z}^2_q) ?

- Circles are geometrically different, containing approximately *q* points (Covert, Iosevich, Pakianathan, 2018).
- Intersections between circles can be at more than 2 points, even if the circles are not necessarily the same.
- Thus, we let $q = p^2$, p a prime, to keep things more manageable.



Background: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2}

We will also be working with hinges in \mathbb{F}_q^2 . This time $q=p^l$ where $l\geq 2$. $\mathbb{F}_q\cong$

$$\{0, 1, ..., p-1\} \cup \{x, 2x, ..., (p-1)x\} \cup ... \cup \{x^{l-1}, 2x^{l-1}, ... (p-1)^{l-1}\} / f(x)$$

Where f(x) is an irreducible polynomial of degree I in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$.



Background: Other work

We study \mathbb{F}_q and \mathbb{Z}_q because:

• Researchers are exploring these sets: Finding solutions to the diagonal equations

$$\alpha_1 x_1^2 + \dots \alpha_n x_n^2 = \alpha$$

in \mathbb{F}_q



Background

We study \mathbb{F}_q and \mathbb{Z}_q because:

• They help us learn more information about larger fields and rings such as \mathbb{Q} (losevich, Rudnev, 2008).



\mathbb{Z}_q^2 Main Theorem

• In \mathbb{Z}_q^2 , our definition of distance is as follows: $|x - y| = (x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2.$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



\mathbb{Z}_q^2 Main Theorem

- In \mathbb{Z}_{q}^2 , our definition of distance is as follows: $|x - y| = (x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2$.
- We define H(E) to be the set of hinges defined by our set $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^2$.

(a)



\mathbb{Z}_q^2 Main Theorem

• In
$$\mathbb{Z}_q^2$$
, our definition of distance is as follows:
 $|x - y| = (x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2$.

• We define H(E) to be the set of hinges defined by our set $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^2$.

Theorem

For some
$$E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^2$$
, where $q = p^2$ and p is an odd prime,

$$|H(E)| \leq p|E|^2$$

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト



\mathbb{Z}_q^2 Main Theorem

• In
$$\mathbb{Z}_q^2$$
, our definition of distance is as follows:
 $|x - y| = (x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2.$

• We define H(E) to be the set of hinges defined by our set $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^2$.

Theorem

For some
$$E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^2$$
, where $q = p^2$ and p is an odd prime,

$$|H(E)| \leq p|E|^2$$

Note that this is a nontrivial bound for $|E| \ge p$

Image: A math a math



• Basic question: How many times do two unit circles in \mathbb{Z}_q^2 intersect?

Introduction

Hinges in $(\mathbb{Z}_q)^2$ Hinges in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^2$ References Statement

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



 Basic question: How many times do two unit circles in Z²_q intersect?

Introduction

Hinges in $(\mathbb{Z}_q)^2$ Hinges in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^2$ References Statement

• Because this property is translation invariant, we just look at unit circles intersecting the unit circle centered at the origin.



First, let us just count points on one circle. We define a function similar to an indicator function, C(x), such that when x lies on the circle, C(x) = 0 but is nonzero otherwise.

(a)



Statement Key Tools Results



- First, let us just count points on one circle. We define a function similar to an indicator function, C(x), such that when x lies on the circle, C(x) = 0 but is nonzero otherwise.
- To count the zeros, we use the following equation:

$$|C| = q^{-1} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_q^2} \chi(m(C(x)))$$



Statement Key Tools Results



- First, let us just count points on one circle. We define a function similar to an indicator function, C(x), such that when x lies on the circle, C(x) = 0 but is nonzero otherwise.
- To count the zeros, we use the following equation:

$$|C| = q^{-1} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_q^2} \chi(m(C(x)))$$

• Here $\chi(m)=e^{rac{2\pi im}{q}}$, which are the $q^{
m th}$ roots of unity.

Introduction Hinges in $(\mathbb{Z}_q)^2$ Hinges in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^2$ References

Statement Key Tools Results



 $|\mathcal{C}| = q^{-1} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_q^2} \chi(m(\mathcal{C}(x)))$



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3



Statement Key Tools Results



• If C(x) is the function for the unit circle centered at the origin, it's easy to see that $C(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1$. So, we want to intersect this with a unit circle centered at $(h, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^2$. Hence the function for that is $D(x) = (x_1 - h)^2 + (x_2 - k)^2 - 1$.



Statement Key Tools Results



• If C(x) is the function for the unit circle centered at the origin, it's easy to see that $C(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1$. So, we want to intersect this with a unit circle centered at $(h, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^2$. Hence the function for that is $D(x) = (x_1 - h)^2 + (x_2 - k)^2 - 1$.

$$|I| = q^{-2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \sum_{m' \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_q^2} \chi(m(C(x))) \chi(m'(D(x)))$$




• Note that taking $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \chi(ux)$, where $u \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{\times}$, will still sum to zero as we are just permuting the roots of unity.





- Note that taking $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \chi(ux)$, where $u \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{\times}$, will still sum to zero as we are just permuting the roots of unity.
- Furthermore, if u ∈ pZ[×]_p, the sum is still zero because we are just now summing over the pth roots of unity.





- Note that taking $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \chi(ux)$, where $u \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{\times}$, will still sum to zero as we are just permuting the roots of unity.
- Furthermore, if $u \in p\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$, the sum is still zero because we are just now summing over the p^{th} roots of unity.
- Also, since our sums are finite, we can exchange the order.





- Our main tool is Quadratic Gauss Sums:
- For positive integers *a*, *b*, *n*, the following is called a Gauss Sum:

$$G(a, b, n) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \chi(ax^2 + bx)$$

For ease, we will write G(a, n) in place of G(a, 0, n).



\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{2} Legendre Symbol

 In order to understand Gauss Sums, we need to understand the Jacobi symbol, which is a generalization to the Legendre symbol.



\mathbb{Z}_q^2 Legendre Symbol

 In order to understand Gauss Sums, we need to understand the Jacobi symbol, which is a generalization to the Legendre symbol.

Definition

Let p be a prime and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ is defined by:

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic residue modulo } p \\ 0 & a|p \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Statement Key Tools Results

\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{2} Legendre Symbol

Theorem

(Euler) Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p be an odd prime. Then,

$$a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \mod p$$

Proposition

Let
$$a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$$
 and p be an odd prime. Then, $\left(rac{ab}{p}
ight)=\left(rac{a}{p}
ight)\left(rac{b}{p}
ight)$

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト

э.

Statement Key Tools Results



Definition

The Jacobi symbol is defined on $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, as follows:

$$\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{a}{p_2}\right)^{\alpha_2} \cdots \left(\frac{a}{p_n}\right)^{\alpha_n}$$

where $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$.





• If we have $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\times}$ and *n* is odd, then:

$$G(a,n)=\epsilon_n\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)\sqrt{n}$$





• If we have $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\times}$ and n is odd, then:

$$G(a,n) = \epsilon_n \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \sqrt{n}$$

• Here, we have that $(\frac{\cdot}{n})$ defines the Jacobi symbol. Furthermore,

$$\epsilon_n = \begin{cases} 1 & n \equiv 1 \mod 4\\ i & n \equiv 3 \mod 4 \end{cases}$$





Proposition

For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we have that:

$$G(a, b, n) = \begin{cases} (a, n)G\left(\frac{a}{(a, n)}, \frac{b}{(a, n)}, \frac{n}{(a, n)}\right) & (a, n)|b\\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト





Proposition

Suppose that $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\times}$, where n is odd. Then, we have that:

$$G(a, b, n) = (a, n)G(a, n)\chi\left(\frac{-b^2}{4a}\right)$$

Proposition

$$G(1,q) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_q} \chi(d) \left(rac{d}{q}
ight)$$



\mathbb{Z}_q^2 Intersection Bound

• Using these techniques and splitting up our sums over m and m' by looking at (m + m', q), we reached the following bounds, as of now.



\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{2} Intersection Bound

• Using these techniques and splitting up our sums over m and m' by looking at (m + m', q), we reached the following bounds, as of now.

• If
$$h,k=$$
 0, we have $|I|=|q+0-p(\epsilon_{p})^{2}|=q-p(\epsilon_{p})^{2}.$



\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{2} Intersection Bound

- Using these techniques and splitting up our sums over m and m' by looking at (m + m', q), we reached the following bounds, as of now.
- If h, k = 0, we have $|I| = |q + 0 p(\epsilon_p)^2| = q p(\epsilon_p)^2$.
- If h = 0 and $k \in p\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$, we get |I| = |p + 0 + p| = 2p.



\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{2} Intersection Bound

 Using these techniques and splitting up our sums over m and m' by looking at (m + m', q), we reached the following bounds, as of now.

• If
$$h, k = 0$$
, we have $|I| = |q + 0 - p(\epsilon_p)^2| = q - p(\epsilon_p)^2$.

• If
$$h = 0$$
 and $k \in p\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$, we get $|I| = |p + 0 + p| = 2p$.

• If
$$h = sp, k = tp$$
, we have

$$|I| = \begin{cases} |p+0-\epsilon_p^2 p| & s^2+t^2 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \\ |p+0+\left(\frac{s^2+t^2}{p}\right) p| & s^2+t^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{\times} \end{cases}$$

\mathbb{Z}_{a}^{2} Intersection Bound

• Now, if $h \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{\times}$ and $k \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, we have multiple cases:

Introduction Hinges in $(\mathbb{Z}_q)^2$ Hinges in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^2$

References

$$|I| = \begin{cases} |1+p-1+0| = p \quad h^2 \equiv 4 \mod q \\ |1-1+0| = 0 \qquad h^2 \equiv 4 + dp \mod q, d \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \\ |1+0+\left(\frac{1-\frac{h^2}{4}}{p}\right)| \qquad h^2 \not\equiv 4 \mod p \end{cases}$$

\mathbb{Z}_{a}^{2} Intersection Bound

• Lastly, if $h, k \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{\times}$:

$$|I| \leq egin{cases} |1+0-\epsilon_p^2| & (h^2+k^2,q)=q \ |1+\phi| & (h^2+k^2,q)=1 \ |1+\sqrt{p}-\epsilon_p^2| & (h^2+k^2,q)=p \end{cases}$$

Define:

$$\phi = \begin{cases} p - 1 + 0 & h^2 + k^2 \equiv 4 \mod q \\ -1 + 0 & h^2 + l^2 \equiv 4 + dp \mod q, d \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \\ \left(\frac{h^2 + k^2}{p}\right) \left(\frac{1 - \frac{h^2 + k^2}{4}}{p}\right) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



\mathbb{Z}_{a}^{2} Sharpness Example

• To show that our bound is sharp, it is sufficient to find a set, $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^2$, with $|E|^2 p$ hinges.



\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{2} Sharpness Example

- To show that our bound is sharp, it is sufficient to find a set, $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^2$, with $|E|^2 p$ hinges.
- To do this consider the following two sets:

$$A = \{(0,0), (0,p), (0,2p), ..., (0, (p-1)p)\}$$

$$B = \{(1,0), (1,p), (1,2p), ..., (1, (p-1)p)\}$$

Take $x, z \in A$ and $y \in B$. All of the unit circles centered at points in A intersect at the points in B.



\mathbb{Z}_q^2 Sharpness Example

- To show that our bound is sharp, it is sufficient to find a set, $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^2$, with $|E|^2 p$ hinges.
- To do this consider the following two sets:

$$A = \{(0,0), (0,p), (0,2p), ..., (0, (p-1)p)\}$$

 $B = \{(1,0), (1,p), (1,2p), ..., (1, (p-1)p)\}$

Take $x, z \in A$ and $y \in B$. All of the unit circles centered at points in A intersect at the points in B.

• Note that this gives $|E|^3$ possible hinges when |E| < p.

(4回) (4 回) (4 回)

Statement Key Tools Results



$$\begin{aligned} H(E)| &= \sum_{\substack{x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^2}} I(y) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{x,z \in E \\ (z_1,z_2) = (x_1+h,x_2+k) \text{ s.t.} \\ h,k \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \lor h^2 + k^2 \equiv 4 \mod q}} p + \sum_{\substack{x,z \in E \\ (z_1,z_2) = (x_1+h,x_2+k) \text{ s.t.} \\ h,k \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{\times} \land h^2 + k^2 \equiv dp \mod q}} \sqrt{p} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{x,z \text{ in the rest of } E \\ d \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}}} 1 \\ &= T_1 + T_2 + T_3 \end{aligned}$$





• Now, we examine each of these terms. T_1 . We clearly have |E| choices for x. The choices for z are more complicated. It comes out to:

Choices for
$$z \lesssim p^2 + 2p + 2 \cdot p(p-1) \lesssim p^2$$

Hence, our bound on this term is $|E| \cdot |p| \cdot \min\{p^2, |E|\}$.





• Now, we examine each of these terms. T_1 . We clearly have |E| choices for x. The choices for z are more complicated. It comes out to:

Choices for
$$z \lesssim p^2 + 2p + 2 \cdot p(p-1) \lesssim p^2$$

Hence, our bound on this term is $|E| \cdot |p| \cdot \min\{p^2, |E|\}$.

• For T_2 , we have |E| choices for x. For z, we get p^3 . Hence,

$$T_2 \leq |E| \cdot |\sqrt{p}| \cdot \min\{p^3, |E|\}$$





• Now, we examine each of these terms. T_1 . We clearly have |E| choices for x. The choices for z are more complicated. It comes out to:

Choices for
$$z \lesssim p^2 + 2p + 2 \cdot p(p-1) \lesssim p^2$$

Hence, our bound on this term is $|E| \cdot |p| \cdot \min\{p^2, |E|\}$. • For T_2 , we have |E| choices for x. For z, we get p^3 . Hence,

$$T_2 \leq |E| \cdot |\sqrt{p}| \cdot \min\{p^3, |E|\}$$

• Finally, $T_3 \leq |E|^2$. So, in total:

 $|H(E)| \leq |E|p\min\{p^2, |E|\} + |E| \cdot |\sqrt{p}| \cdot \min\{p^3, |E|\} + |E|^2$



• With a little bit of work we get the following bounds:

Introduction Hinges in $(\mathbb{Z}_q)^2$ Hinges in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^2$

References

$$|H(E)| \lesssim egin{cases} |E|^3 & |E|$$

Results





 The maximum number of k-chains in a finite set E, is bounded by the following piecewise equation, which uses both our hinge bound and our intersection bound. Note that this requires k ≥ 2.

$$\#_k \lesssim \begin{cases} |H(E)|^m & k = 3m \\ |H(E)|^m \cdot |E| & k = 3m + 1 \\ |H(E)|^m \cdot p \cdot |E| & k = 3m + 2 \end{cases}$$

Main Question Key Tools Results



• Now, we want to look at intersections in \mathbb{F}_q for q = p'.



・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Main Question Key Tools Results



• Now, we want to look at intersections in \mathbb{F}_q for $q = p^l$.

•
$$|I| = q^{-2} \sum_{m,m' \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(-m(x^2 + y^2 - 1))\chi(-m'((x - h)^2 + (y - k)^2 - 1))$$

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Main Question Key Tools Results



- Now, we want to look at intersections in \mathbb{F}_q for $q = p^l$.
- $|I| = q^{-2} \sum_{m,m' \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(-m(x^2 + y^2 1))\chi(-m'((x h)^2 + (y k)^2 1))$
- Note that we have to change our definition of χ because it doesn't make any sense to take e^x for x ∈ 𝔽_q.

Main Question Key Tools Results



• For
$$\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$$
, $\chi(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(\alpha)}$.

< ロ > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > <</p>

Main Question Key Tools Results



• For
$$\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$$
, $\chi(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(\alpha)}$.
• $\operatorname{tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^{p} + \dots + \alpha^{p^{l-1}}$.

Lin & Thomas Hinges and Incidences

< ロ > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > <</p>

Main Question Key Tools Results



• For
$$\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$$
, $\chi(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(\alpha)}$.
• $\operatorname{tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^p + \dots + \alpha^{p^{l-1}}$.

• tr:
$$\mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_p$$
.

< ロ > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > <</p>

Main Question Key Tools Results



- For $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $\chi(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(\alpha)}$.
- $\operatorname{tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^{p} + \dots + \alpha^{p^{l-1}}$.
- tr: $\mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_p$.
- Note that this equation permutes everything in a nontrivial way, but does make our equation work as intended.



Main Question Key Tools Results



• Let $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and let $q = p^l$. Then the Gauss sum $g_l(\beta, k)$ over \mathbb{F}_q is defined by

$$g_r(eta,k) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(eta lpha^k)/p}$$



Main Question Key Tools Results



• Let $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and let $q = p^l$. Then the Gauss sum $g_l(\beta, k)$ over \mathbb{F}_q is defined by

$$g_r(eta,k) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(eta lpha^k)/p}$$

• When we let k = 2, which is what we need for our question, we have that

$$g_l(\beta,2) = \rho(\beta^{-1})g_l(1,2)$$

Here, ρ is the canonical quadratic character in \mathbb{F}_q .


Main Question Key Tools Results



• Let $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and let $q = p^l$. Then the Gauss sum $g_l(\beta, k)$ over \mathbb{F}_q is defined by

$$g_r(eta,k) = \sum_{lpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(eta lpha^k)/p}$$

• When we let k = 2, which is what we need for our question, we have that

$$g_l(\beta, 2) = \rho(\beta^{-1})g_l(1, 2)$$

Here, ρ is the canonical quadratic character in \mathbb{F}_q .

• $g_l(1,2) = (-1)^{l-1} i^{\frac{r(p-1)^2}{4}} q^{\frac{1}{2}}.$

A (1) > A (2) > A (2) >

Main Questio Key Tools Results

\mathbb{F}_{q}^{2} Intersection Bound

•
$$|I| = \begin{cases} 1+0+-1=0 & h^2+k^2=0\\ 1+0+\rho(h^2+k^2)\rho\left(1-\frac{h^2+k^2}{4}\right) & 1+\frac{h^2+k^2}{4} \neq 0\\ 1+0+0=1 & 1+\frac{h^2+k^2}{4}=0 \end{cases}$$

Introduction Hinges in $(\mathbb{Z}_q)^2$ Hinges in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^2$ References

Lin & Thomas Hinges and Incidences

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

3

Main Questio Key Tools Results

\mathbb{F}_{q}^{2} Intersection Bound

•
$$|I| = \begin{cases} 1+0+-1=0 & h^2+k^2=0\\ 1+0+\rho(h^2+k^2)\rho\left(1-\frac{h^2+k^2}{4}\right) & 1+\frac{h^2+k^2}{4} \neq 0\\ 1+0+0=1 & 1+\frac{h^2+k^2}{4}=0 \end{cases}$$

• $|H(E)| \leq |E|^2$

Introduction Hinges in $(\mathbb{Z}_q)^2$ Hinges in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^2$ References

Lin & Thomas Hinges and Incidences

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

3



References

- Erdős, Paul (1946), "On sets of distances of n points", American Mathematical Monthly, 53 (5): pp. 248–250, doi:10.2307/2305092.
- Spencer, Joel; Szemerédi, Endre; Trotter, William T. (1984), "Unit distances in the Euclidean plane", in Bollobás, Béla, Graph Theory and Combinatorics, London: Academic Press, pp. 293–308, ISBN 0-12-111760-X, MR 0777185.
- Covert, David; Iosevich, Alex; Pakianathan, Jonathan (2012), "Geometric Configurations in the Ring of Integers Modulo p[/]", Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 61 (5): pp. 1949–1969.

(a)



References

- Iosevich, Alex; Morgan, Hannah; Pakianathan, Jonathan (2011), "On Directions Determined by Subsets of Vector Spaces Over Finite Fields", Integers, 11 (6): pp. 815-825,doi: https://doi.org/10.1515/INTEG.2011.063.
- Iosevich, Alex; Rudnev, Misha, (2007) "Erdős Distance Problem in Vector Spaces Over Finite Fields", Transactions of the Mathematical Society, 359 (12): pp. 6127–6142.
- Berndt, Bruce C.; Evans, Ronald J.; Williams, Kenneth S. (1998), *Gauss and Jacobi Sums*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., Canada, pp. 9–11, 303, 362.



Acknowledgments

We'd like to thank Steve for teaching and helping us extensively with this project $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{O}}$